(192) Departm

SERIES.

G.O. No. 1564
1615.

DATED

Despatch Abstract.]

igation – (Bellary) – Tungabhad
mels – Nirganti – Reduction
pproved.

REVENUE **DEPARTMENT.**

CURRENT No.

Received ... 191 *Registered* ... 191

Subject.

Nirgantis - Kampli and Ramsagar channels - Bellary district - Reduction - Report submitted.

UNDER SECY. TO GOVT. REVENUE DEPT.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

Refce. Gl. **No.** 5777/19-4

Station Madras., *Dated the* ...th Septr. 1920. ~~191~~

From

The Board of Revenue, ~~Land Revenue.~~ Revenue Settlement, etc. ~~Separate Revenue.~~

Ll.E.Buckley Esq., C.S.I., I.C.S.,

Commissioner of Land Revenue & Settlement.

~~Resolution~~ Reference.

Reference:-

Adverting to Government Order Mis.No.658, Rev., dated 19th March 1920, the Board submits that in the opinion of the Collector of Bellary no further reduction in the number of Nirgantis employed on the Kampli and Ramsagar channels than that referred to in the proposition statement printed at page 8 of Government Order No.199-I, dated 3rd July 1919, is feasible and that the rules printed in Covernment Order No.3278, Rev. dated 1st November 1912 -

not require any change. The Board agrees with the Collector.

A. Covalam

for Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Revenue Department.

Reduction of Nirganti Establishments on the Kampli and Ramsagar channels Bellary Dt.

1284-B/20] From the Board of Rev(R.S) Rep Sl 5777/19-4

To under Secretary

With reference to para 2 of G.O No, 658 Rev Dt 19.3.20, the Board has simply reported that the number of nirgantis can be reduced from 186 to 11. It does not state the number of nirgantis in each of the villages served by the Kampli and Ramsagar channels. In view of para 2 of the Advocate General's opinion on p 14 of the G.O, it seems necessary to make sure that govt will be within their legal rights approving of the proposed reduction of establishment. The Board may it is submitted, be asked to give the requisite information.

2/ In regard to para 3 of the G.O read has stated that no change in the Rule under the Act (Act III of 1895) will be necessary. The rule in G.O No, 3278 Rev Dt 1.11.1912 were framed with reference to only three of the Tungabhadra Channels. It would be necessary, in view of the fact that all the Tungabhadra channels have not been tra

02/

sufficient to ~~make~~ notify these rules as applicable ~~apply~~ to all the Channels. Some executive instructions in regard to the appointment and punish-- of nirgantis would also be necessary. vide

p. 10 of P para 3 of G.O No. 3569 Rev dt 11.11.1910 and

4 para 2 of G.O 152 Rev dt 20.1.1912.

3/ Before orders are passed in this dept. regarding the control and the revision of the number and pay of the nirgantis ~~are passed~~ with reference to para 4 of G.O No. 199 I dt 3.7.1919 the reference to the Board of Rev suggested in para 1 above may be made. A draft memo is put up for approval.

9 of G.O No/658 Rev 19.3.20

T.

Send it

22/10

O/R 19/10/20

Week 31.10.20.

Scott. II-8 (e)—135,005—27-4-15.

NOTES.

Page ——

T.M

From The undersecy to Govt

To The Secy to the Bd of Rev (R.S)

~~D.M~~ T.M

(Please see

~~In connection with its~~

Reference 2nd No, 5777/1940) 27.9.

regarding the reduction in the number of nirgantis employed on the Kampli and Ramsagar Channels in the Bellary Dt, the Board of Rev is requested to report which of the villages having more nirganti offices than one, the proposed reduction can be effected. The number of offices in each of such villages should also be reported

Pl send TW & ret — file intd

VR 22/9/40

PCF

Can you let me know in what villages the reduction will be made & how many nirgantis there are in each of these villages. The point is that there must be at least two nirgantis in a village to admit of any reduction. Can you guarantee that there will be at least one nirganti left in each village after reduction is effected?

TM 22/9/40

To

The Board of Rev (R.S)

Dear Sir

21.9.40

VR 20/10/40

WA 20/10/40

OFFICE OF THE _____

Dated _____ 191

Minutes.

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE.

From

The Secy Bd R.S.

To The T.S. Rev. dy

Rect your T.M. of 7 inst
The Bd has no information as to
the village over disbursal of the inspection
referred to in your T.M. The Collector
will be addressed in the matter and
further particulars will be furnished on
receipt of a reply from the Collector.

28/4

28/4

To under secretary

Please see the T.M on the previous page
and the Boards reply above. The file may, it is submitted
lie over for a month pending the receipt of the information
promised

6700
6/10/20
Issued
26 " "

Telephone clerk
please remind
on
4/12/20

Dep
3/4/21

(I.P. 771.

Rev Sett

Dated 6.12 1920.

11 Hours 45 Minutes

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE.

The Secretary Board

The Under Secy to Govt Revenue

Ref your D.M of 4.12.20

The Collector of Bellary has not yet replied to this office reference regarding the village & distribution of Nergantis' emolts on the Kamplí & Ramasagar channel. He is being reminded and a report will be submitted to Govt on receipt of his reply

6/12

6/12

Under Secy

The report from the Board may be waited for a month.

Dated 2 191

Hours Min

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE.

From The Secy. Bd Revt

To The Under Secy Revenue

Ref: your D. no 89 22.X.20 regd
re. reduction in the number of
Nirgantis employed for the Canal
and Ramsagar channel in the
Bellary Dist.
The Collector of Bellary repor
that Nirgantis were employed on
Channel and beats and not by
villagers. The number of Nirga
employed before and after the subm
of the proposition statement is as,

1 Channel	2 Before the submissi of the proposit Statement	3 After submis the pro Statem
Ramsagar	8	
Kamplı	10	

D. o up
D.NO 155 I

Fb
18/12/20

POST COPY OF TELEPHONIC MESSAGE

From

The Secretary,

Board Settle

To

The Under Secretary to Government,

Revenue Department.

Reference your T.M.dated 22nd October 1920 regarding the reduction in the number of nirgantis employed on the Kapli and Ramsagar channels in the Bellary district.

The Collector of Bellary reports that Nirgantis were employed by channels and beats and not by villages. The number of nirgantis employed before and after the submission of the proposition statement is as follows:-

Channel	Before the submission of the proposition statement.	After the submission of the proposition statement.
Ramsagar	8	5
Kampli	10	6

for Secretary.

Dated.- 18th December 1920.

Rev Dept

Please see the T.M. to the Board on p 3, ante and its reply above. From this reply it would appear that the necessary reduction has already been effected. It is not

Vide para 1 of Board's Ref. on p. 7 and paras of the GO on p. 9 of G.S. 1992 3.7.1919 (T)

clear on what authority the collector made this reduction* The Board of Rev. it is submitted be asked to give this inform. A draft T. m is put up for approval.

T. m

The Secretary to the Board (Mr. Rai telephonic reply) It appears from your T. m. dt. 18.12.20 regarding the re. of migrant establishment employed on the Tungabhadra channels in the Bellary that the reduction has already been effected. Pl. let me know if this is correct and if so on what authority the collector has made the reduction.

S/ The collector has apparently effected the reduction, which is now an accomplished fact. We may acquiesce in it. If any of the migrants whose services have been dispensed with institute proceedings, the Collector will consider the legal aspect of the question. I suggest that the papers be recorded as whatever the legal difficulty, there appears to be no practical difficulty.

Sd/ 16/2/21
Walk 16.2.21

Sd/ 16/2/21

Please see notes P. G.O no 655 dated 19.3.20 and Mr. Advocate General's opinion at page 14 of the G.O.

Sd/ P. I agree with Mr. Under Secretary that it is perhaps best not P. to enquire

too closely as to make the reduction in the Nizamat establishment has been effected but however convenient it may be to employ them by beats and not by villages it is not strictly legal to do so & no such orders have been passed as required by paragraph 4 of G.O no 199 I dated 3.7.19 as regards the revision of numbers thus. It is not quite clear whether the men are now being paid Rs 8. Presumably they & they must also presumably be borne on some village establishment. No practical difficulty appears to be experienced but the question might come up if one of the Nizamats whose services were dispensed with sued for the secretary office and there might also be account direction as to the pay.

Papers req 22.1.21

Pages 9 of T

I am afraid therefore that we cannot at present record with further inquiry which may perhaps ask the Board to refer to what villages the men now employed are allocated & how,

much they are very fond

EN 19/1/21

C(3)

Circ clerk 20/1/21

Box No. 7
Reg
Dispatched 22.1.21.
Received 22.1.21.

I think a further reference to be made to the Board & Defence information elicited as regards to cl of the present member of Nirkantis So as to be prepared with a reply the event of a strict of the dismen or any of them. Information a their emoluments may also be called

22.1.21

EN 24/1/21

To under Secretary

A draft T.M to the Board put up for approval.

T.M

To

The Secy to the Board of Rev (R.S)

Ref your T.M of 18.12.20 regarding the reduction of nirganti Establishment employed on the Tungabhadra channels in the Bellary Dt — It is presumed that the reduction proposed has already been effected. ~~If so, my presumption is correct~~, Will you kindly let me know to say that villages ~~established~~, The disbanded men we

OFFICE OF THE 12/2/21

Dated _____ 191

_____ Hours _____ Minutes

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE.

From

To The Under Secy. Rev. Deptt.

MP 10-11 CF Reg. - grant of ...

~~reduction~~ re reduction in the number of migrant employed on the Kurnool and Ramsathe the Bellary District

Collector of Bellary reports that the reduction in the number of migrants proposed by him has not yet been given effect to

JM 12.2

To Under Secy

Please see the T.M. on pp 10-11 CF and the Board's reply above. As the reply is not complete, a further T.M. to the Board is put up

Reference - Our T.M. dt. 12.2.21 regarding reduction in the number of migrants established in the Tungabhadra Channels in the

Bellary DC.

Please let me know ~~on what~~ with reference to the latter portion of my D.O. No. 11 dated 28.1.21, on what village establishment, each of the existing vacancies is borne ~~employed~~ and on what pay.

Sd/- Illegible

DO
18.2.21
Work
in
18.2.21

Sd/- D
18/2.

Received
5/3/21

5.3.21

O.F. 271.

OFFICE OF THE _____

Dated _____ 191

_____ Hours _____ Minutes.

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE

From The Secy, Bd Sett

To The Under Secy to Govt Revenue

Ref your ○ — dy 19.2.21 regarding the reduction in number of the Nirgantis employed in the Kambali & Ramsagar ~~Channels~~ channels in the Bellary Dist

The Collr of Bellary reports that the existing Nirgantis in the Kambali & Ramsagar channel are borne on the village ests of the Kambali & Ramsagar villages respectively & their pay now is Rs 8/- each per mensem

4.21

Please refer to T.M. on pp. 12-13 CF and the Board's reply above. It is reported that the pay of the nirgantis now is Rs 8. It is not known on what authority the rate of pay is very recent. Is the impression on the Statement submitted by the Board in 4(
4.2.20

has yet to be sanctioned. The Board may
it is submitted be asked about this. It
may also be asked to state whether provi
on has been made in the current year's budget
to meet the extra cost of Rs 37 p.m on
account of increase of pay of the nirgantis from
Rs 5 to Rs 8 + chart T.m is submi

T.m

The under Secy to Govt, Rev Dept

The Secy to the Board of Rev (19.5)

Ref. your T.m & G.O. cited:

Nirganti Establishment employed on the
Tungabhadra channels, Bellary Dt.

Please say on what authority an
increased rate of Rs 8 p.m is being given to the
nirganti while the proposition submitted
by the Board vide its Ref No 421 dt 13.3.44 has
not yet been sanctioned. Please also say whether
any provision has been made in the current year's
budget on account of the increase of pay proposed.

Govt Rep. Remuneration for village servants

G.O 499 7/4/44.

Rs 37-8 of G.O flag (T)

Rs 75 p.m (N)

U.S

Submitted pl (para 5 of G.O)

2/ Please see para 4 of P.O No. 1991 dt 3.7.1919. As the nirgantis have been sanctioned by the general

(T) 25/8 p.m

regretted that this G.O escaped my attention

revision of pay of all the village menials
only point for orders is whether it is necessa
to reduce the number of niganis from 31 to
2/ As regards the duties of the niganis, the
Board may be asked to issue rules similer to
these printed in G.O No 327 S Rev dt 1.11.12 in respect
of the ~~taluqs~~ five ~~Chavadi~~ Channels in which they
are not now in force.
3/ As regards the control of the niganis the
attention of the Board may be invited to para 2
of G.O No 152 Rev dt 20.1.12
5/ The niganis are borne on the village
establishment list, Kampli Ramnagar
(Page supra). There will be no difficulty
in reducing from 31 to 18. A certain
number will still be retained in each
village

As the Niganis to be reduced are
borne on the assessment lists of
only two villages there will be
no difficulty.

Put up draft for approval

30/5/12

P & of G.O (T)

(X)
Ex 30/5/12

P 8 of G.O (T)

Ex 30/5/12 (4)

1 Madhavaram
2 Rampur
3 Bhasampur
4 Desanur
5 Singarpur

may be sent to Finance for acceptance

SD
1062

VOR
28/6/21

EB 10/6/21

Lim. U.O

Finance Dept.

Re 3/207 to 1062
13.6.21.

Para 1 of the draft may be accepted, subject to the addition of a Reed 1062 sentence that the sanction has been registered as no. 131 of 1921-22.

21. 18.6.21.

Ref Ct. 2675C

U.O 2675C-1

Revenue Dept

30.6.21

B

U.S

REVENUE - B
1286
Rcd 1-7-21

Para 1. of the draft has been suitably altered with reference to the remarks of Finance. The draft order may if so submitted, issue.

VOR
2/7/21

SD 2/7/21

Received in Fair copying section.
Copied.
Examined.
Signed.
Despatched.

R. valley Bellary

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation - ~~Channels~~ (Bellary), Tungabhadra channels
Nirgantis - Reduction - approved

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 328 Rev 1991, dated 1-3-11 1912 / 3-7-19 1919 / 19-3- 1920.

" " " " " " 192 .

READ ALSO:-

1284B/20 From the Board of Rev (Rs/Rg) Pt, No. 5777/19-4 dated 27-9-1920

" " " " " " 192

" " " " " " 192

ORDER. No. 1564, dated 11-7- 192

This sanction is registered as No. 131 of 1921-22

To

The Board of Rev (Rs/Rg) P.W.D. Copy to file. } -ad

DESPATCHED 12 JUL 1921

vr 8/7/21

This sanction/ ps of 8.10 Hyd T

The Govt sanction approve the proposal of the Board of Rev to reduce number of nirgantis employed on the Kampli and Ramsagar channels of the Bellary Dt, from 10 to 8 and from 8 to 5 respectively.

2/ The Board of Rev is requested to issue rules under the Hereditary Village Offices Act III of 1895, defining the duties of the nirgantis employed on the Madhavapuram, Ramper, Dharam Desanur and Singappa channels.

3/ As regards the control of the nirgantis the attention of the Board of Rev is invited to para 2 of G.O. No. 1011 Rev.-